



About this report

PRI reporting is the largest global reporting project on responsible investment. It was developed with investors, for investors.

PRI signatories are required to report publicly on their responsible investment activities each year. In turn, they receive a number of outputs, including a public and private Transparency Report.

The public Transparency Reports, which are produced using signatories' reported information, provide accountability and support signatories to have internal discussions about their practices and to discuss these with their clients, beneficiaries, and other stakeholders.

This public Transparency Report is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2023 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to core indicators, as well as responses to plus indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

In response to signatory feedback, the PRI has not summarised signatories' responses – the information in this document is presented exactly as it was reported.

For each of the indicators in this document, all options selected by the signatory are presented, including links and qualitative responses. In some indicators, all applicable options are included for additional context.

Disclaimers

Responsible investment definitions

Within the PRI Reporting Framework Glossary, we provide definitions for key terms to guide reporting on responsible investment practices in the Reporting Framework. These definitions may differ from those used or proposed by other authorities and regulatory bodies due to evolving industry perspectives and changing legislative landscapes. Users of this report should be aware of these variations, as they may impact interpretations of the information provided.

Data accuracy

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2023 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

Table of Contents

Module	Page
SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)	4
ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)	6
POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)	17
LISTED EQUITY (LE)	42
FIXED INCOME (FI)	49
SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES (SO)	58
CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)	69



SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Senior Leadership Statement	GENERAL

Section 1. Our commitment

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment, and what major responsible investment commitment(s) have you made?
 - (1) Quoniam's purpose is to add value to our multiple current and future stakeholders clients, employees, shareholders, wider society and the planet to have a positive impact on the world. We are convinced of the long-term positive correlation between sustainable action and economic value. ESG should have a role in every investment process. We consider sustainability to be a key factor in the future viability of countries and corporates we invest in and are thus in line with our clients' interests. We engage with corporates to promote responsible management and good corporate governance, thus increasing their enterprise value in the long term. We support and promote leading national and international standards to foster the acceptance of sustainable investing on an international level. We have committed ourselves to a better tomorrow and for us, a better tomorrow not only means better, long-term, risk-adjusted performance for our clients. It also means using our capabilities to help improve the quality of the system in which we work and applying clients' capital for a better tomorrow.
 - (2) We implement our philosophy through corporate policies that take root in our operations, investment process and client relations. Corporate social responsibility: Our Board of Managing Partners and SI Committee set forth policies for our own operations, advocacy activities and our investment process. Investments: Our investment process covers ESG at all stages: screening, integration and engagement. As quantitative investors, we are best positioned to understand and interpret the flood of ESG data on the market and extract what's material and essential. Clients: ESG has become a highly complex, qualitative topic that needs to be first understood, interpreted and quantified. We make ESG easy for our clients by helping them better understand providers and data so that they can best meet their regulatory and governance requirements.

For those who do not have their own sustainable investing philosophy, we offer a sustainable investing framework. Furthermore, we also support our clients by providing transparent reporting on ESG characteristics.

Section 2. Annual overview



- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. Details might include, for example, outlining your single most important achievement or describing your general progress on topics such as the following (where applicable):
- refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
- stewardship activities with investees and/or with policymakers
- collaborative engagements
- attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

In the past year, Quoniam has been actively involved in a number of activities related to sustainable investing. Firstly, we have defined and implemented an exclusion list for our ESG standard approach, which we call "Essential". We have also refined and implemented integration for our ESG "Enhanced" approach for our sustainably managed funds. In addition, we have developed, tested, and launched a global equities climate product. Secondly, we have also been focused on improving our governance processes. We have enacted a statute for the Sustainable Investing (SI) Committee and updated our SI policy by integrating the climate aspect. Thirdly, we have been engaged in dialogues with our existing clients and prospects to convince them of the benefits of investing in our ESG enhanced approach. Fourthly, we have been actively participating in dialogues with stakeholders, including the publication of various white papers and articles as well as the participation in panel discussions on sustainable investing topics such as climate key figures and the necessity of ESG data to effectively steer portfolios. Through our partnership with Union Investment, we were able have 647 company dialogues on ESG topics and cast 33,066 votes at 2,469 annual meetings. Finally, we have joined the Net Zero Asset

Section 3. Next steps

on the world.

■ What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

Manager Initiative, which is aimed at helping to address the global climate crisis while minimizing the risk of investing in carbon-intensive companies. Overall, these activities reflect our commitment to sustainable investing and our desire to make a positive impact

At Quoniam, we are committed to responsible investing, and we believe that this is a critical component in delivering long-term value to our clients. For the next two years, we have identified several key activities that will help us to further embed responsible investing practices across our business. Firstly, we are committed to developing a comprehensive CSR strategy, which is included in our roadmap for 2023. This strategy will help us to ensure that our approach to responsible investing is integrated into all aspects of our business operations. Secondly, we will launch a Fixed Income Climate product, which will allow our clients to invest in a way that supports the transition to a low-carbon economy. We believe that this product will be an important tool for investors who are looking to reduce the carbon footprint of their investment portfolios. Finally, we are managing a sustainable equity fund for a family office, which reflects our commitment to responsible investing across all asset classes focusing on externalities. Overall, we believe that these activities will help us to achieve our commitment to responsible investing, and we remain focused on delivering long-term value for our clients while supporting the transition to a more sustainable economy.

Section 4. Endorsement

'The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment'.

Name

Volker Flögel

Position



Chief Investment Officer

Organisation's Name

Quoniam Asset Management GmbH



'This endorsement applies only to the Senior Leadership Statement and should not be considered an endorsement of the information reported by the above-mentioned organisation in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement serves as a general overview of the above-mentioned organisation's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such. Further, it is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions'.

ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (00)

ORGANISATIONAL INFORMATION

REPORTING YEAR

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
001	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

What is the year-end date of the 12-month period you have chosen to report for PRI reporting purposes?

	Date	Month	Year
Year-end date of the 12-month period for PRI reporting purposes:	31	12	2022

SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 2	CORE	N/A	00 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries?

o (A) Yes



ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT

ALL ASSET CLASSES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 4	CORE	00 3	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What are your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the reporting year, as indicated in [OO 1]?

USD

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries, and excluding the AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 22,712,485,372.00

(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission, as indicated in [OO 2.2]

US\$ 0.00

(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 0.00

ASSET BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	00 3	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total AUM at the end of the reporting year as indicated in [OO 1].



	(1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM	(2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM
(A) Listed equity	>50-75%	0%
(B) Fixed income	>10-50%	0%
(C) Private equity	0%	0%
(D) Real estate	0%	0%
(E) Infrastructure	0%	0%
(F) Hedge funds	0%	0%
(G) Forestry	0%	0%
(H) Farmland	0%	0%
(I) Other	0%	0%
(J) Off-balance sheet	0%	0%

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED LISTED EQUITY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 5.3 LE	CORE	00 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed listed equity	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed listed equity AUM.

(A) Passive equity	0%
(B) Active – quantitative	>75%
(C) Active – fundamental	0%



ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED FIXED INCOME

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 FI	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed fixed income	GENERAL
Provide a furth	ner breakdown of you	internally manage	ed fixed income	AUM.		
(A) Passive -	- SSA 0%					

(A) Passive – SSA	0%
(B) Passive – corporate	0%
(C) Active – SSA	>0-10%
(D) Active – corporate	>75%
(E) Securitised	0%
(F) Private debt	0%

GEOGRAPHICAL BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
007	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

How much of your AUM in each asset class is invested in emerging markets and developing economies?

AUM in Emerging Markets and Developing Economies

(A) Listed equity	(12) 100%
(B) Fixed income – SSA	(12) 100%



(12) 100%

STEWARDSHIP

STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 8	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities, excluding (proxy) voting, for any of your assets?

	(1) Listed equity - active	(3) Fixed income - active
(A) Yes, through internal staff		
(B) Yes, through service providers	Ø	Ø
(C) Yes, through external managers		
(D) We do not conduct stewardship	0	O

STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 9	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct (proxy) voting activities for any of your listed equity holdings?



(1) Listed equity - active

(A) Yes, through internal staff	
(B) Yes, through service providers	
(C) Yes, through external managers	
(D) We do not conduct (proxy) voting	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9.1	CORE	OO 9	PGS 10.1, PGS 31	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

For each asset class, on what percentage of your listed equity holdings do you have the discretion to vote?

Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote

(A) Listed equity – active (4) >20 to 30%

ESG INCORPORATION

INTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors into your investment decisions?



	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions
(B) Listed equity - active - quantitative	•	0
(E) Fixed income - SSA	•	0
(F) Fixed income - corporate	•	0

ESG STRATEGIES

LISTED EQUITY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 17 LE	CORE	00 11	OO 17.1 LE, LE 12	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

Which ESG incorporation approach and/or combination of approaches does your organisation apply to your internally managed active listed equity?

Percentage out of total internally managed active listed equity

(A) Screening alone	>10-50%
(B) Thematic alone	0%
(C) Integration alone	>0-10%
(D) Screening and integration	>75%
(E) Thematic and integration	0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0%
(G) All three approaches combined	0%
(H) None	0%



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 17.1 LE	CORE	00 17 LE	LE 9	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

What type of screening does your organisation use for your internally managed active listed equity assets where a screening approach is applied?

	Percentage coverage out of your total listed equity assets where a screening approach is applied
(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0%
(B) Negative screening only	>75%
(C) A combination of screening approaches	0%

FIXED INCOME

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 17 FI	CORE	OO 5.3 FI, OO 11	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1

Which ESG incorporation approach and/or combination of approaches does your organisation apply to your internally managed active fixed income?

	(1) Fixed income - SSA	(2) Fixed income - corporate
(A) Screening alone	0%	>10-50%
(B) Thematic alone	0%	0%
(C) Integration alone	>50-75%	0%
(D) Screening and integration	>10-50%	>75%



(E) Thematic and integration	0%	0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0%	0%
(G) All three approaches combined	0%	0%
(H) None	0%	0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 17.1 FI	CORE	00 17 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1

What type of screening does your organisation use for your internally managed active fixed income where a screening approach is applied?

	(1) Fixed income - SSA	(2) Fixed income - corporate
(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0%	0%
(B) Negative screening only	>75%	>75%
(C) A combination of screening approaches	0%	0%

ESG/SUSTAINABILITY FUNDS AND PRODUCTS

LABELLING AND MARKETING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	OO 11–14	OO 18.1	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Do you explicitly market any of your products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable?

(A) Yes, we market products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable Provide the percentage of AUM that your ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products or funds represent:



>10-50%

- o (B) No, we do not offer products or funds explicitly marketed as ESG and/or sustainable
- o (C) Not applicable; we do not offer products or funds

Additional information: (Voluntary)

As statetd, 27% of our total AUM are marketed as ESG and/or sustainable.

Thereof:

- 7% Listed EQ
- 14% Fixed Income
- 6% multi asset.

14% of our Listed EQ AUM are ESG or sustainable; 36% of our FI AUM are ESG or sustainable.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18.1	CORE	OO 18	OO 18.2	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Do any of your ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds hold formal ESG and/or RI certification(s) or label(s) awarded by a third party?

- o (A) Yes, our ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds hold formal labels or certifications
- (B) No, our ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds do not hold formal labels or certifications

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 21	CORE	Multiple indicators	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Summary of reporting requirements	GENERAL

The following table shows which modules are mandatory or voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules. Where a module is voluntary, indicate if you wish to report on it.



Applicable modules	(1) Mandatory to report (pre-filled based on previous responses)	(2.1) Voluntary to report. Yes, I want to opt-in to reporting on the module	(2.2) Voluntary to report. No, I want to opt-out of reporting on the module
Policy, Governance and Strategy	•	0	0
Confidence Building Measures	•	0	0
(B) Listed equity – active – quantitative	•	0	0
(E) Fixed income – SSA	•	0	0
(F) Fixed income – corporate	•	0	0

SUBMISSION INFORMATION

REPORT DISCLOSURE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 32	CORE	OO 3, OO 31	N/A	PUBLIC	Report disclosure	GENERAL

How would you like to disclose the detailed percentage figures you reported throughout the Reporting Framework?

- o (A) Publish as absolute numbers



POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)

POLICY

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY ELEMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 1	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1, 2

Which elements are covered in your formal responsible investment policy(ies)?

- ☑ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- ☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- ☑ (C) Guidelines on social factors
- ☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- ☑ (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes
- \Box (F) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold
- ☑ (G) Guidelines on exclusions
- ☑ (H) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- ☑ (I) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees
- ☑ (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement
- ☐ (K) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders
- ☑ (L) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting
- $\hfill\square$ (M) Other responsible investment elements not listed here
- o (N) Our organisation does not have a formal responsible investment policy and/or our policy(ies) do not cover any responsible investment elements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 2	CORE	PGS 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) include specific guidelines on systematic sustainability issues?

- ☑ (A) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)
- ☑ (B) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)
- \square (C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues
- o (D) Our formal responsible investment policy(ies) does not include guidelines on systematic sustainability issues



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 3	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	6

Which elements of your formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available?

 $\ \square$ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment

Add link:

https://www.quoniam.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/202212_SI-Guidelines_Sustainable_Investing-2.pdf

☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors

Add link:

https://www.quoniam.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/202212_SI-Guidelines_Sustainable_Investing-2.pdf

☑ (C) Guidelines on social factors

Add link:

https://www.quoniam.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/202212_SI-Guidelines_Sustainable_Investing-2.pdf

☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors

Add link:

https://www.quoniam.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/202212 SI-Guidelines Sustainable Investing-2.pdf

☑ (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes

Add link:

https://www.quoniam.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/202212 SI-Guidelines Sustainable Investing-2.pdf

☑ (F) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)
Add link:

https://www.quoniam.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/202212 SI-Guidelines Sustainable Investing-2.pdf

☑ (G) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)
Add link:

https://www.quoniam.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/202212_SI-Guidelines_Sustainable_Investing-2.pdf

☑ (J) Guidelines on exclusions

Add link:

https://www.quoniam.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/202212 SI-Guidelines Sustainable Investing-2.pdf

(K) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment Add link:

https://www.quoniam.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Conflicts of Interest Policy.pdf

(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees Add link:

https://www.quoniam.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/202212_SI-Guidelines_Sustainable_Investing-2.pdf

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ (M) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement



Add link:

https://www.quoniam.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/202212_SI-Guidelines_Sustainable_Investing-2.pdf

☑ (O) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting

Add link:

https://www.quoniam.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Voting_Policy-2.pdf

o (Q) No elements of our formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 5	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Which elements are covered in your organisation's policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship?

- ☑ (A) Overall stewardship objectives
- $\ \square$ (B) Prioritisation of specific ESG factors to be advanced via stewardship activities
- \Box (C) Criteria used by our organisation to prioritise the investees, policy makers, key stakeholders, or other entities on which to focus our stewardship efforts
- ☑ (D) How different stewardship tools and activities are used across the organisation
- ☑ (E) Approach to escalation in stewardship
- ☑ (F) Approach to collaboration in stewardship
- ☑ (G) Conflicts of interest related to stewardship
- \Box (H) How stewardship efforts and results are communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-making and vice versa
- ☐ (I) Other
- o (J) None of the above elements is captured in our policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 6	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Does your policy on (proxy) voting include voting principles and/or guidelines on specific ESG factors?

- ☑ (A) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific environmental factors
- ☑ (B) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific social factors
- $\ \square$ (C) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific governance factors
- o (D) Our policy on (proxy) voting does not include voting principles or guidelines on specific ESG factors



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 7	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Does your organisation have a policy that states how (proxy) voting is addressed in your securities lending programme?

- o (A) We have a publicly available policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- o (B) We have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme, but it is not publicly available
- o (C) We rely on the policy of our external service provider(s)
- \circ (D) We do not have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY COVERAGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 8	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What percentage of your total AUM is covered by the below elements of your responsible investment policy(ies)?

Combined AUM coverage of all policy elements

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment
(B) Guidelines on environmental factors
(C) Guidelines on social factors
(D) Guidelines on governance factors



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 9	CORE	PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What proportion of your AUM is covered by your formal policies or guidelines on climate change, human rights, or other systematic sustainability issues?

(A) Specific guidelines on climate change	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Specific guidelines on human rights	(1) for all of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

Per asset class, what percentage of your AUM is covered by your policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship with investees?

☑ (A) Listed equity

- (1) Percentage of AUM covered
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - **(3)** >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - o (6) >50% to 60%
 - o (7) >60% to 70%
 - o (8) >70% to 80%
 - o (9) >80% to 90%
 - o (10) >90% to <100%
 - o (11) 100%
- (2) If your AUM coverage is below 100%, explain why: (Voluntary)



As a pure asset manager who does not act as a management company, we are not allowed to perform certain functions. This includes stewardship activities such as exercising voting rights for outsourced mandates. More than 80% of our equity assets are managed with an external third-party management company. Even in the case of asset management mandates, we depend on our clients mandating us to exercise voting rights. However, in those mandates in which we receive the mandate to exercise voting rights or are permitted to do so by virtue of our role, we have 100% coverage through our stewardship policy. In addition, we actively engage with investees in collaboration with Union Investment for all our SICAV funds and selected mandates where our clients have mandated us accordingly.

☑ (B) Fixed income

- (1) Percentage of AUM covered
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - (5) >40% to 50%
 - o (6) >50% to 60%
 - o (7) >60% to 70%
 - o (8) >70% to 80%
 - o (9) >80% to 90%
 - o (10) >90% to <100%
 - o (11) 100%
- (2) If your AUM coverage is below 100%, explain why: (Voluntary)

As a pure asset manager who does not act as a management company, we are not allowed to perform certain functions. This includes stewardship activities such as exercising voting rights for outsourced mandates. More than 80% of our equity assets are managed with an external third-party management company. Even in the case of asset management mandates, we depend on our clients mandating us to exercise voting rights. However, in those mandates in which we receive the mandate to exercise voting rights or are permitted to do so by virtue of our role, we have 100% coverage through our stewardship policy. In addition, we actively engage with investees in collaboration with Union Investment for all our SICAV funds and selected mandates where our clients have mandated us accordingly.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10.1	CORE	OO 9.1, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

What percentage of your listed equity holdings is covered by your guidelines on (proxy) voting?

☑ (A) Actively managed listed equity

- (1) Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - o (6) >50% to 60%
 - o (7) >60% to 70%
 - o (8) >70% to 80%
 - o (9) >80% to 90%
 - o (10) >90% to <100%
 - o (11) 100%



(2) If your AUM coverage is below 100%, explain why: (Voluntary)

As a pure asset manager who does not act as a management company, we are not allowed to perform certain functions. This includes stewardship activities such as exercising voting rights for outsourced mandates.

Even in the case of asset management mandates, we depend on our clients mandating us to exercise voting rights. However, in those mandates in which we receive the mandate to exercise voting rights or are permitted to do so by virtue of our role, we have 100% coverage through our stewardship policy.

GOVERNANCE

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Which senior level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation have formal oversight over and accountability for responsible investment?

\square (A) Board members, trustees, or equi	uivaient	nτ
--	----------	----

☑ (B) Senior executive-level staff, or equivalent Specify:

CIO

☑ (C) Investment committee, or equivalent Specify:

SI Committee

 \square (D) Head of department, or equivalent

o (E) None of the above bodies and roles have oversight over and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.1	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2, PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1, 2

Does your organisation's senior level body(ies) or role(s) have formal oversight over and accountability for the elements covered in your responsible investment policy(ies)?



(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment	
(B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance factors	
(C) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes	
(D) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)	
(E) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)	
(H) Guidelines on exclusions	
(I) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment	
(J) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees	
(K) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement	✓
(M) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting	Ø
(N) This role has no formal oversight over and accountability for any of the above elements covered in our responsible investment policy(ies)	0



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1-6

Does your organisation have governance processes or structures to ensure that your overall political engagement is aligned with your commitment to the principles of PRI, including any political engagement conducted by third parties on your behalf?

Describe how you do this:

Quoniam participates in collaborative engagement via associations like BVI (e.g., EU Taxonomy) and engages in other policy topics via service provider Union Investment: e.g., Taxonomy and European Single Access Point

- o (B) No
- o (C) Not applicable, our organisation does not conduct any form of political engagement directly or through any third parties

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles are responsible for implementing your approach to responsible investment?

☑ (A) Internal role(s)

Specify:

Chief-level staff, head of department, investment analysts, dedicated responsible investment staff, SI Committee, SI Team.

☑ (B) External investment managers, service providers, or other external partners or suppliers Specify:

We collaborate with Union Investment for proxy voting, engagement and dialogues with investees. In addition we use the services of a proxy voting platform for mandates where we have been mandated to do so.

o (C) We do not have any internal or external roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 14	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and are these KPIs linked to compensation?

(A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

Indicate whether these responsible investment KPIs are linked to compensation

☐ (J) Progress towards commitments on other systematic sustainability issues

- o (1) KPIs are linked to compensation
- o (2) KPIs are not linked to compensation as these roles do not have variable compensation
- (3) KPIs are not linked to compensation even though these roles have variable compensation

Describe: (Voluntary)

o (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

EXTERNAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

our AUM?

What elements are included in your regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of yo
\square (A) Any changes in policies related to responsible investment
\square (B) Any changes in governance or oversight related to responsible investment
☐ (C) Stewardship-related commitments
\square (D) Progress towards stewardship-related commitments
\square (E) Climate–related commitments
\square (F) Progress towards climate–related commitments
\square (G) Human rights–related commitments
\square (H) Progress towards human rights–related commitments
\square (I) Commitments to other systematic sustainability issues

(K) We do not include any of these elements in our regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of our AUM



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose climate-related information in line with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures' (TCFD) recommendations?

$(\Delta) \vee$	ae in	cludina	all gov	ernance-	.rolatod	recomm	hahnar	dieclaei	Irac

☐ (B) Yes, including all strategy-related recommended disclosures

 \square (C) Yes, including all risk management–related recommended disclosures

(D) Yes, including all applicable metrics and targets-related recommended disclosures

(E) None of the above Add link(s):

https://www.netzeroassetmanagers.org/signatories/quoniam-asset-management-gmbh/https://www.quoniam.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/2023-04_SI-Report-DE.pdf

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 19	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement?

(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement Add link(s):

https://www.quoniam.com/en/about-us/initiatives/

- o (B) No, we did not publicly disclose our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- o (C) Not applicable, we were not members in or supporters of any trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement during the reporting year



STRATEGY

CAPITAL ALLOCATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 20	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

Which elements do your organisation-level exclusions cover?

- ☑ (A) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular sectors, products or services
- ☑ (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular regions or countries
- ☑ (C) Exclusions based on minimum standards of business practice aligned with international norms such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the International Bill of Human Rights, UN Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact
- \square (D) Exclusions based on our organisation's climate change commitments
- ☐ (E) Other elements
- o (F) Not applicable; our organisation does not have any organisation-level exclusions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 21	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

How does your responsible investment approach influence your strategic asset allocation process?

- \square (A) We incorporate ESG factors into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- \Box (B) We incorporate climate change–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- ☐ (C) We incorporate human rights—related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- \Box (D) We incorporate risks and opportunities related to other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- o (E) We do not incorporate ESG factors, climate change, human rights or other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- (F) Not applicable; we do not have a strategic asset allocation process



STEWARDSHIP: OVERALL STEWARDSHIP STRATEGY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 22	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

For the majority of AUM within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income
(A) Maximise our portfolio-level risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.	•	
(B) Maximise our individual investments' risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we do not seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.	0	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 24	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, concerning collaborative stewardship efforts?

- **●** (A) We recognise the value of collective action, and as a result, we prioritise collaborative stewardship efforts wherever possible
- o (B) We collaborate on a case-by-case basis
- o (C) Other
- o (D) We do not join collaborative stewardship efforts



STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 29	CORE	OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

When you use external service providers to give recommendations, how do you ensure those recommendations are consistent with your organisation's (proxy) voting policy?

☑ (A) Before voting is executed, we review external service providers' voting recommendations for controversial and high-profile votes

Select from the below list:

- o (1) in all cases
- o (3) in a minority of cases

☑ (B) Before voting is executed, we review external service providers' voting recommendations where the application of our voting policy is unclear

Select from the below list:

- o (1) in all cases
- (2) in a majority of cases
- o (3) in a minority of cases
- \Box (C) We ensure consistency with our voting policy by reviewing external service providers' voting recommendations only after voting has been executed
- o (D) We do not review external service providers' voting recommendations
- o (E) Not applicable; we do not use external service providers to give voting recommendations

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 30	CORE	00 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

How is voting addressed in your securities lending programme?

- o (A) We recall all securities for voting on all ballot items
- o (B) When a vote is deemed important according to pre-established criteria (e.g. high stake in the company), we recall all our securities for voting
- o (C) Other
- o (D) We do not recall our securities for voting purposes
- (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 31	CORE	OO 9.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

For the majority of votes cast over which you have discretion to vote, which of the following best describes your decision making approach regarding shareholder resolutions (or that of your external service provider(s) if decision making is delegated to them)?

- (a) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, including affirming a company's good practice or prior commitment
- o (B) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, but only if the investee company has not already publicly committed to the action(s) requested in the proposal
- (C) We vote in favour of shareholder resolutions only as an escalation measure
- o (D) We vote in favour of the investee company management's recommendations by default
- o (E) Not applicable; we do not vote on shareholder resolutions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 32	CORE	00 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

During the reporting year, how did your organisation, or your external service provider(s), pre-declare voting intentions prior to voting in annual general meetings (AGMs) or extraordinary general meetings (EGMs)?

- ☐ (A) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system on the Resolution Database
- ☐ (B) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly by other means, e.g. through our website
- ☑ (C) We privately communicated our voting decision to investee companies prior to the AGM/EGM
- o (D) We did not privately or publicly communicate our voting intentions prior to the AGM/EGM
- \circ (E) Not applicable; we did not cast any (proxy) votes during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 33	CORE	OO 9	PGS 33.1	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

After voting has taken place, do you publicly disclose your (proxy) voting decisions or those made on your behalf by your external service provider(s), company by company and in a central source?



Add link(s):

https://www.quoniam.com/en/sustainability/engagement-report/ https://institutional.union-investment.de/startseite-de/Kompetenzen/Nachhaltige-Investments/Engagement.html#Voting_Dashboard

- o (B) Yes, for the majority of (proxy) votes
- o (C) Yes, for a minority of (proxy) votes
- o (D) No, we do not publicly report our (proxy) voting decisions company-by-company and in a central source

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 33.1	CORE	PGS 33	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

In the majority of cases, how soon after an investee's annual general meeting (AGM) or extraordinary general meeting (EGM) do you publish your voting decisions?

- o (A) Within one month of the AGM/EGM
- **(B)** Within three months of the AGM/EGM
- o (C) Within six months of the AGM/EGM
- o (D) Within one year of the AGM/EGM
- o (E) More than one year after the AGM/EGM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 34	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

After voting has taken place, did your organisation, and/or the external service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale for your voting decisions during the reporting year?

	(1) In cases where we abstained or voted against management recommendations	(2) In cases where we voted against an ESG-related shareholder resolution
(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed the rationale		
(B) Yes, we privately communicated the rationale to the company	(3) for a minority of votes	(3) for a minority of votes



(C) We did not publicly or privately communicate the rationale, or we did not track this information	ο	0
(D) Not applicable; we did not abstain or vote against management recommendations or ESG-related shareholder resolutions during the reporting year	ο	0

STEWARDSHIP: ESCALATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 36	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

For your listed equity holdings, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?

(A) Joining or broadening an existing collaborative engagement or creating a new one (B) Filing, co-filing, and/or submitting a shareholder resolution or proposal (C) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter (D) Voting against the re-election of one or more board directors



(E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors, or equivalent, e.g. lead independent director	
(F) Divesting	\square
(G) Litigation	
(H) Other	
(I) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our listed equity holdings	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 37	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

For your corporate fixed income assets, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?

\checkmark	(A)	Joining	or broad	ening an	existing	collaborative	engagement	or creating	a new	one

- ☑ (B) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter
- ☐ (C) Not investing
- ☑ (D) Reducing exposure to the investee entity
- ☑ (E) Divesting
- ☐ (F) Litigation
- ☐ (G) Other
- o (H) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our corporate fixed income assets



STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH POLICY MAKERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	PGS 39.1, PGS 39.2	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

Did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach during the reporting year?

- ☑ (A) Yes, we engaged with policy makers directly
- ☑ (B) Yes, we engaged with policy makers through the leadership of or active participation in working groups or collaborative initiatives, including via the PRI
- ☑ (C) Yes, we were members of, supported, or were in another way affiliated with third party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policy makers, excluding the PRI
- o (D) We did not engage with policy makers directly or indirectly during the reporting year beyond our membership in the PRI

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.1	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, what methods did you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach?

- ☑ (A) We participated in 'sign-on' letters
- ☑ (B) We responded to policy consultations
- ☐ (C) We provided technical input via government- or regulator-backed working groups
- ☑ (D) We engaged policy makers on our own initiative Describe:

Engagement in other policy topics via service provider Union Investment: e.g., Taxonomy and European Single Access Point.

☑ (E) Other methods

Describe:

Through service provider e.g., member of the "Regierungskommission Deutscher Corporate Governance Kodex", EU-Commission: member of High-Level Forum Capital Markets Union, ESMA: member of SMSG



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.2	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose details of your engagement with policy makers conducted as part of your responsible investment approach, including through external investment managers or service providers?

 $\ \square$ (A) We publicly disclosed all our policy positions

Add link(s):

https://www.quoniam.com/en/sustainability/engagement-report/

☑ (B) We publicly disclosed details of our engagements with policy makers Add link(s):

https://www.quoniam.com/en/sustainability/engagement-report/

o (C) No, we did not publicly disclose details of our engagement with policy makers conducted as part of our responsible investment approach during the reporting year

CLIMATE CHANGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41	CORE	N/A	PGS 41.1	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation identified climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments?

- ☐ (A) Yes, within our standard planning horizon
- ☑ (B) Yes, beyond our standard planning horizon

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

While our standard planning horizon is 6 months to 2 years, we expect climate-related risks and opportunities to materialize more forceful in the medium to longer term, i. e. until 2030 and beyond.

- Specific financial risks in different asset classes:

We have started to analyse asset class-specific characteristics.

We analyse the effects of carbon taxation under different scenarios which affects especially sectors with high CO2 emissions. Utilising the carbon tax scenario, we get insights into the carbon risk sensitivity of assets which goes beyond the traditional CO2 footprint.

This assessment is especially relevant for equities as it directly impacts a company's profitability. However, significant scenarios might affect fixed income as well, with debt service being at risk. In addition, we are in the process of developing a model which aims to quantify the financial impact compared to revenues and/or earnings.



As supporter of the TCFD, we strive to incorporate transition risks which are linked to financial risks and consider variances in asset class characteristics.

- Specific sectors and/or assets likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios:

We continuously analyze sectors and issuers for opportunities that may arise from climate change mitigation and/or adaptation. These are sectors and companies that offer solutions for greater resource efficiency throughout the production process, taking into account water and energy consumption.

In particular, energy efficiency improvements and strategies to shift from fossil fuels to renewable energy, such as solar energy investments, have the potential to benefit sectors and assets under different climate scenarios. We recognize that temporary price weakness may occur, affecting sectors that are highly dependent on fossil fuels. The initial investment and the impact of externalities need to be considered in strategic positioning. Regarding climate change adaptation, we believe that innovative solutions addressing the physical impacts of climate change have the potential to benefit a wide range of sectors.

We have developed a climate transition signal which incorporates factors related to climate risks and also opportunities, using among others companies' climate targets and quality of these targets.

Due to the steadily growing share of green bonds, a stronger specific consideration of climate opportunities in fixed income compared to equities is emerging

- Specific sectors and/or assets at risk of being stranded:

We have identified specific sectors that are particularly dependent on thermal coal, such as coal producers (mining) or processors (utilities, industrials, materials producers) and crude oil producers. These will most likely be left with fossil fuel reserves and infrastructure that are no longer being used and stranded.

Crude oil byproducts will also be severely impacted by disinvestment. As part of our climate strategy, which we are currently developing, we intend to continually reduce our exposure to fossil fuels.

The PACTA tool provides valuable insights into the specific risks of high-impact sectors.

In addition to the PACTA tool, we also use scenario analyses based on the Climate VaR approach.

This looks at the main climate change risks for sectors such as automotive, aviation and shipping, fossil fuels, cement and steel, and energy.

- Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals: Companies developing or using industrial processes resulting in significant reduction of greenhouse gas emissions (especially in materials production i.e. cement, steel) are potentially significant contributors to achieving significant GHG reductions, as are utilities focusing on a transition from GHG intensive electricity generation to GHG free or low GHG generation. The discussions to achieve significant milestones in becoming net zero by 2050 recognise that for a transition period and for certain regional areas nuclear energy could be adopted instead of thermal coal power generation.

This also refers to systematic shifts that consider dependencies of sectors on sufficient and reliable energy supply which renewable energy solutions still lack to a certain degree. We continue to monitor significant technological changes which have the possibility to impact climate change mitigation resulting in reductions of CO2 emissions.

An important tool in the context of the longer-term analysis is scenario analysis to assess temperature alignment of portfolios or a climate value at risk.

o (C) No, we have not identified climate-related risks and/or opportunities affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41.1	CORE	PGS 41	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General



Does your organisation integrate climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments in its overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products?

Describe how climate-related risks and opportunities have affected or are expected to affect your investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products:

We consider metrics on climate related risks such as GHG emissions and climate value at risk in all our investment products, and assess these at the overall investment strategy level. In addition we have developed a dedicated climate transition product focussing on reducing climate related risks and capturing opportunities as the key objective.

• (B) No, our organisation has not yet integrated climate-related risks and opportunities into its investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 43	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation assessed the resilience of its investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one in which the average temperature rise is held to below 2 degrees Celsius (preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius) above preindustrial levels?

- ☐ (A) Yes, using the Inevitable Policy Response Forecast Policy Scenario (FPS) or Required Policy Scenario (RPS)
- \square (B) Yes, using the One Earth Climate Model scenario
- ☐ (C) Yes, using the International Energy Agency (IEA) Net Zero scenario
- (D) Yes, using other scenarios Specify:

Specify: We consider MSCI CVaR Methodology. The overall methodology of Climate VaR is designed to be closely aligned with theTask Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) recommendations to conduct scenario analysis on investment portfolios. It calculates and analyses policy-related transition risks under 1.5°C, 2°C and 3°C scenarios.

o (E) No, we have not assessed the resilience of our investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one that holds temperature rise to below 2 degrees

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 44	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Does your organisation have a process to identify, assess, and manage the climate-related risks (potentially) affecting your investments?

- ☑ (A) Yes, we have a process to identify and assess climate-related risks
 - (1) Describe your process

We collect, compile and analyse data points assessing climate-related risks for all issuers.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management



We have a standard process for data collection and validation. Furthermore, we report climate-related metrics to various stakeholders (mainly, management and clients).

☑ (B) Yes, we have a process to manage climate-related risks

(1) Describe your process

We control for overall exposure to identified risks, including climate risks, when constructing portfolios as part of our standard investment process.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

The compliance with the respective targets for the identified risk metrics is monitored independently.

o (C) No, we do not have any processes to identify, assess, or manage the climate-related risks affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 45	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, which of the following climate risk metrics or variables affecting your investments did your organisation use and disclose?

☑ (A) Exposure to physical risk

- (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - **(1)** Metric or variable used
 - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - o (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- ☑ (B) Exposure to transition risk
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used
 - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - o (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- \square (C) Internal carbon price
- ☑ (D) Total carbon emissions
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used
 - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - o (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- ☑ (E) Weighted average carbon intensity
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric or variable used
 - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
 - (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

 $https://www.support.marketplace.spglobal.com/en/datasets/alternative/trucost_environmental/trucost_environmental_data_methodology_guide.pdf$

/E\	A	emissions
 (- 1	$\Delta VOIO \Theta O$	amieeinne

☑ (G) Implied Temperature Rise (ITR)



- (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used
 - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - o (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- \square (H) Non-ITR measure of portfolio alignment with UNFCCC Paris Agreement goals
- ☐ (I) Proportion of assets or other business activities aligned with climate-related opportunities
- \square (J) Other metrics or variables
- o (K) Our organisation did not use or disclose any climate risk metrics or variables affecting our investments during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 46	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, did your organisation disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, and/or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions?

- ☐ (A) Scope 1 emissions
- ☐ (B) Scope 2 emissions
- ☐ (C) Scope 3 emissions (including financed emissions)
- (D) Our organisation did not disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions during the reporting year

SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- (A) Yes, we have identified one or more specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- \circ (B) No, we have not yet identified the sustainability outcomes connected to any of our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.1	CORE	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Which widely recognised frameworks has your organisation used to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- ☑ (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
- **☑** (B) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement
- ☑ (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)



☑ (D) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business
Conduct for Institutional Investors
☐ (E) The EU Taxonomy
☐ (F) Other relevant taxonomies
☑ (G) The International Bill of Human Rights
(H) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight
core conventions
☐ (I) The Convention on Biological Diversity
☐ (J) Other international framework(s)
☐ (K) Other regional framework(s)
(I) Other sectoral/issue-specific framework(s)

L) Other sectoral/issue-specific framework(s)
o (M) Our organisation did not use any widely recognised frameworks to identify the intended and unintended sustainability
outcomes connected to its investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.2	CORE	PGS 47	PGS 48	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

What are the primary methods that your organisation has used to determine the most important intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

7	(A)	Identify	/ sustainability	outcomes that are	closely	v linked to o	ur core in	vestment	activities
~		HUCHUI	/ Justalliabilli	/ Uullullies liial ai e	CIUSCI	y illineu to o	ui coie iii	vesument	activities

☑ (B) Consult with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities

 \square (C) Assess which actual or potential negative outcomes for people are most severe based on their scale, scope, and irremediable character

- ☐ (D) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to systematic sustainability issues
- ☐ (E) Analyse the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society, trade unions or similar)
- \square (F) Understand the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives
- ☐ (G) Other method
- o (H) We have not yet determined the most important sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 48	CORE	PGS 47.2	PGS 48.1, SO 1	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?

- (A) Yes, we have taken action on some of the specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- o (B) No, we have not yet taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities



LISTED EQUITY (LE)

OVERALL APPROACH

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors across your listed equity strategies?

	(2) Active - quantitative
(A) Yes, our investment process incorporates material governance factors	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Yes, our investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors	(1) for all of our AUM
(C) Yes, our investment process incorporates material ESG factors beyond our organisation's average investment holding period	(1) for all of our AUM
(D) No, we do not have a formal process. Our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their discretion	ο
(E) No, we do not have a formal or informal process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors	Ο



MONITORING ESG TRENDS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring ESG trends	1

Does your organisation have a formal process for monitoring and reviewing the implications of changing ESG trends across your listed equity strategies?

	(2) Active - quantitative	
(A) Yes, we have a formal process that includes scenario analyses		
(B) Yes, we have a formal process, but it does not include scenario analyses	(1) for all of our AUM	
(C) We do not have a formal process for our listed equity strategies; our investment professionals monitor how ESG trends vary over time at their discretion	0	
(D) We do not monitor and review the implications of changing ESG trends on our listed equity strategies	0	

(B) Yes, we have a formal process but it does not include scenario analysis - Specify: (Voluntary)

The following extract is from our formal Sustainable Investment (SI) screening and integration process documentation: "The SI Committee validates and approves SI filter criteria as well as general concept and/or desired outcomes of Quoniam's SI screening and integration approach. The application of SI filter criteria and desired integration outcomes may depend on strategy, product and/or client preferences [...] The SI Committee monitors specific SI filter criteria as well as general concept and/or desired outcomes of Quoniam's SI screening and integration approach on a quarterly basis."



PRE-INVESTMENT

ESG INCORPORATION IN RESEARCH

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 3	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

How does your financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process incorporate material ESG risks?

(1) Active - quantitative

(A) We incorporate material governance-related risks into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process	(1) in all cases
(B) We incorporate material environmental and social risks into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process	(1) in all cases
(C) We incorporate material environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process	(3) in a minority of cases
(D) We do not incorporate material ESG risks into our financial analysis, equity valuation or security rating processes	0



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 4	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

What information do you incorporate when you assess the ESG performance of companies in your financial analysis, benchmark selection and/or portfolio construction process?

(2) Active - quantitative

(A) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on current performance across a range of material ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(B) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on historical performance across a range of material ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(C) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on material ESG factors that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability	(1) in all cases
(D) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information enabling current, historical and/or future performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of material ESG factors	(1) in all cases



(E) We do not incorporate qualitative or quantitative information on material ESG factors when assessing the ESG performance of companies in our financial analysis, equity investment or portfolio construction process

0

ESG INCORPORATION IN PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 6	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

How do material ESG factors contribute to your stock selection, portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process?

	(2) Active - quantitative
(A) Material ESG factors contribute to the selection of individual assets and/or sector weightings within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Material ESG factors contribute to the portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(1) for all of our AUM
(C) Material ESG factors contribute to the country or region weighting of assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(1) for all of our AUM
(D) Other ways material ESG factors contribute to your portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	



(E) Our stock selection, portfolio construction or benchmark selection process does not include the incorporation of material ESG factors

0

POST-INVESTMENT

ESG RISK MANAGEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 9	CORE	OO 17.1 LE, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

What compliance processes do you have in place to ensure that your listed equity assets subject to negative exclusionary screens meet the screening criteria?

- ☑ (A) We have internal compliance procedures that ensure all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening have pre-trade checks
- \square (B) We have an external committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- ☑ (C) We have an independent internal committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- o (D) We do not have compliance processes in place to ensure that we meet our stated negative exclusionary screens

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 10	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For the majority of your listed equity assets, do you have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into your risk management process?



(1) Active - quantitative

(A) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for individual listed equity holdings	
(B) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for other listed equity holdings exposed to similar risks and/or incidents	
(C) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for our stewardship activities	
(D) Yes, our formal process includes ad hoc reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on severe ESG incidents	
(E) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process; our investment professionals identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents at their discretion	0



(F) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process

0

DISCLOSURE OF ESG SCREENS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 12	CORE	OO 17 LE, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Disclosure of ESG screens	6

For all your listed equity assets subject to ESG screens, how do you ensure that clients understand ESG screens and their implications?

- ☑ (A) We share a list of ESG screens
- ☑ (B) We share any changes in ESG screens
- \square (C) We explain any implications of ESG screens, such as their deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings
- o (D) We do not share the above information for all our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens

FIXED INCOME (FI)

OVERALL APPROACH

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors across your fixed income assets?



	(1) SSA	
(A) Yes, our investment process incorporates material governance factors	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Yes, our investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(C) Yes, our investment process incorporates material ESG factors depending on different investment time horizons	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(D) No, we do not have a formal process; our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their discretion	O	0
(E) No, we do not have a formal or informal process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors	0	0

MONITORING ESG TRENDS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring ESG trends	1

Does your organisation have a formal process for monitoring and reviewing the implications of changing ESG trends across your fixed income assets?



(A) Yes, we have a formal process that includes scenario analyses		
(B) Yes, we have a formal process, but does it not include scenario analyses	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(C) We do not have a formal process for our fixed income assets; our investment		0

0

(1) SSA

(D) We do not monitor and review the implications of changing ESG

trends on our fixed income assets

professionals monitor how ESG trends vary over time at their

0 0

(2) Corporate

0

(B) Yes, we have a formal process, but it does not include scenario analyses - Specify: (Voluntary)

The following extract is from our formal SRI screening and integration process documentation: "The SRI Committee validates and approves SRI filter criteria as well as general concept and/or desired outcomes of Quoniam's SRI screening and integration approach. The application of SRI filter criteria and desired integration outcomes may depend on strategy, product and/or client preferences [...] The SRI Committee monitors specific SRI filter criteria as well as general concept and/or desired outcomes of Quoniam's SRI screening and integration approach on a quarterly basis."

PRE-INVESTMENT

ESG INCORPORATION IN RESEARCH

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 3	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

For the majority of your fixed income investments, does your organisation incorporate material ESG factors when assessing their credit quality?



	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) We incorporate material environmental and social factors	☑	☑
(B) We incorporate material governance-related factors	☑	
(C) We do not incorporate material ESG factors for the majority of our fixed income investments	0	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 4	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

Does your organisation have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	
(A) Yes, we have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by country and/or region (e.g. local governance and labour practices)	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	
(B) Yes, we have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by sector	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	
(C) No, we do not have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector	0	0	



(D) Not applicable; we are not able to differentiate ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector due to the limited universe of our issuers

0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 6	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

How do you incorporate significant changes in material ESG factors over time into your fixed income asset valuation process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) We incorporate it into the		
forecast of financial metrics or		
other quantitative assessments		
(B) We make a qualitative		
assessment of how material ESG		
factors may evolve		
(C) We do not incorporate		
significant changes in material	•	•
ESG factors	-	-

ESG INCORPORATION IN PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 8	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

How do material ESG factors contribute to your security selection, portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process?



	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) Material ESG factors contribute to the selection of individual assets and/or sector weightings within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Material ESG factors contribute to determining the holding period of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(C) Material ESG factors contribute to the portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(D) Material ESG factors contribute to the country or region weighting of assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(E) Material ESG factors contribute to our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process in other ways		
(F) Our security selection, portfolio construction or benchmark selection process does not include the incorporation of material ESG factors	0	0



POST-INVESTMENT

ESG RISK MANAGEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 11	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

How are material ESG factors incorporated into your portfolio risk management process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function or group, can veto investment decisions based on ESG considerations	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Companies, sectors, countries and/or currencies are monitored for changes in exposure to material ESG factors and any breaches of risk limits	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(C) Overall exposure to specific material ESG factors is measured for our portfolio construction, and sizing or hedging adjustments are made depending on the individual issuer or issue sensitivity to these factors	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(D) We use another method of incorporating material ESG factors into our portfolio's risk management process	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(E) We do not have a process to incorporate material ESG factors into our portfolio's risk management process	0	0

(D) We use another method of incorporating material ESG factors into our portfolio's risk management process - Specify:



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
Fl 12	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For the majority of your fixed income assets, do you have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into your risk management process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for individual fixed income holdings	 ✓	
(B) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents, and their implications for other fixed income holdings exposed to similar risks and/or incidents	✓	☑
(C) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents, and their implications for our stewardship activities	Ø	☑
(D) Yes, our formal process includes ad hoc reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on severe ESG incidents	☑	☑



(E) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents; our investment professionals identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents at their discretion	0	0
(F) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process	0	o

DISCLOSURE OF ESG SCREENS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 18	CORE	OO 17 FI, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Disclosure of ESG screens	6

For all your fixed income assets subject to ESG screens, how do you ensure that clients understand ESG screens and their implications?

- ☑ (A) We share a list of ESG screens
- **☑** (B) We share any changes in ESG screens
- ☑ (C) We explain any implications of ESG screens, such as any deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings
- o (D) We do not share the above information for all our fixed income assets subject to ESG screens



SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES (SO)

SETTING TARGETS AND TRACKING PROGRESS

SETTING TARGETS ON SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 1	PLUS	PGS 48	SO 2, SO 2.1, SO 3	PUBLIC	Setting targets on sustainability outcomes	1, 2

What specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities has your organisation taken action on?
☑ (A) Sustainability outcome #1
(1) Widely recognised frameworks used to guide action on this sustainability outcome
☐ (1) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
☑ (2) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement
☐ (3) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
(4) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct
for Institutional Investors
☐ (5) The EU Taxonomy
\Box (6) Other relevant taxonomies
(7) The International Bill of Human Rights
\Box (8) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight
core conventions
\square (9) The Convention on Biological Diversity
\square (10) Other international, regional, sector-based or issue-specific framework(s)
(2) Classification of sustainability outcome
☑ (1) Environmental
☐ (2) Social
☐ (3) Governance-related
☐ (4) Other
(3) Sustainability outcome name
NZAM portfolio emissions and intensity
(4) Number of targets set for this outcome
o (1) No target
o (2) One target
(3) Two or more targets
☐ (B) Sustainability outcome #2
☐ (C) Sustainability outcome #3
☐ (D) Sustainability outcome #4
☐ (E) Sustainability outcome #5
☐ (F) Sustainability outcome #6
☐ (G) Sustainability outcome #7
☐ (H) Sustainability outcome #8
☐ (I) Sustainability outcome #9
(1) Sustainability outcome #10



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 2	PLUS	SO 1	SO 2.1, SO 4, SO 5	PUBLIC	Setting targets on sustainability outcomes	1

For each sustainability outcome, provide details of up to two of your nearest-term targets.

(A1) Sustainability Outcome #1: Target details

(A1) Sustainability Outcome #1:	NZAM portfolio emissions and intensity
(1) Target name	NZAM portfolio emissions
(2) Baseline year	2018
(3) Target to be met by	2030
(4) Methodology	Net Zero Investment Framework
(5) Metric used (if relevant)	tCO2e
(6) Absolute or intensity-based (if relevant)	(1) Absolute
(7) Baseline level or amount (if relevant):	916,157 tCO2e
(8) Target level or amount (if relevant)	-50%
(9) Percentage of total AUM covered in your baseline year for target setting	56%
(10) Do you also have a longer- term target for this?	(2) No



(A2) Sustainability Outcome #1: Target details

(A2) Sustainability Outcome #1:	NZAM portfolio emissions and intensity
(1) Target name	NZAM portfolio intensity
(2) Baseline year	2018
(3) Target to be met by	2030
(4) Methodology	Net Zero Investment Framework
(5) Metric used (if relevant)	tCO2e/Mn USD Revenue
(6) Absolute or intensity-based (if relevant)	(2) Intensity-based
(7) Baseline level or amount (if relevant):	282.5 tCO2/\$Mn revenue
(8) Target level or amount (if relevant)	-50%
(9) Percentage of total AUM covered in your baseline year for target setting	56%

FOCUS: SETTING NET-ZERO TARGETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 3	PLUS	SO 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Focus: Setting net-zero targets	General

If relevant to your organisation, you can opt-in to provide further details on your net-zero targets.

□ (A)	Yes,	we wo	ould li	ke to	provide	further	details	on o	ur (organisation's	s asset	t clas	ss-spec	ific r	net-ze	ro targ	ets
□ (B)	Yes.	we wo	ould li	ke to	provide	further	details	on o	ur (organisation's	s net-z	ero 1	taraets	for h	niah-er	mittina	sector



^{☐ (}C) Yes, we would like to provide further details on our organisation's mandate or fund-specific net-zero targets

- o (D) No, we would not like to provide further details on our organisation's asset class, high-emitting sectors or mandate or fund-specific net-zero targets
- (E) No, our organisation does not have any asset class, high-emitting sectors or mandate or fund-specific net-zero targets

TRACKING PROGRESS AGAINST TARGETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 4	PLUS	SO 2	SO 4.1	PUBLIC	Tracking progress against targets	1

Does your organisation track progress against your nearest-term sustainability outcomes targets?

(A1) Sustainability outcome #1:

	(A1) Sustainability outcome #1:
(A1) Sustainability outcome #1:	NZAM portfolio emissions and intensity
Target name:	NZAM portfolio emissions
Does your organisation track progress against your nearest-term sustainability outcome targets?	(1) Yes
	(A2) Sustainability outcome #1:
(A2) Sustainability outcome #1:	NZAM portfolio emissions and intensity
Target name:	NZAM portfolio intensity
Does your organisation track progress against your nearest-term sustainability outcome targets?	(1) Yes



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 4.1	PLUS	SO 4	N/A	PUBLIC	Tracking progress against targets	1

During the reporting year, what qualitative or quantitative progress did your organisation achieve against your nearest-term sustainability outcome targets?

(A1) Sustainability Outcome #1: Target details

(A1) Sustainability Outcome #1:	NZAM portfolio emissions and intensity
(1) Target name	NZAM portfolio emissions
(2) Target to be met by	2030
(3) Metric used (if relevant)	tCO2e
(4) Current level or amount (if relevant)	283,076 tCO2e
(5) Other qualitative or quantitative progress	In the course of re-focussing our SI Standard to adapt to changing regulatory and competitive landscapes (e.g. with respect to green-washing), we recently de-scoped our AuM managed in line with SI Enhanced / SI Focus approaches, i.e. those being relevant for our Net Zero strategy.
(6) Methodology for tracking progress	We use the portfolio weighted average intensity rescaled for missing values to evaluate the progress. We calculate this metric for all mandates in Scope, i.e. those managed according to our SI Enhanced and SI Focus standards. We include net asse value of asset classes specified in 10, and also do not include derivatives (such as futures, swaps) or ETFs / funds. Multi asset mandates are not included. Non-discretionary mandates where Quoniam is not able to manage in line with Net Zero due to client instructions, benchmarks or similar restrictions are also excluded. Quoniam engages with clients to embed net zero alignment in such cases. The tracking of target progress is addressed via the general monitoring process for our 3 S standards SI Essential, SI Enhanced, SI Focus via the SI Committee as outlined in our formal process document "ESG screening & integration process".



(A2) Sustainability outcome #1: Target details

(A2) Sustainability outcome #1:	NZAM portfolio emissions and intensity
(1) Target name	NZAM portfolio intensity
(2) Target to be met by	2030
(3) Metric used (if relevant)	tCO2e/Mn USD Revenue
(4) Current level or amount (if relevant)	135.26 tCO2/mnUSD
(5) Other qualitative or quantitative progress	In the course of re-focussing our SI Standard to adapt to changing regulatory and competitive landscapes (e.g. with respect to green-washing), we recently de-scoped our AuM managed in line with SI Enhanced / SI Focus approaches, i.e. those being relevant for our Net Zero strategy.
(6) Methodology for tracking progress	We use the portfolio weighted average intensity rescaled for missing values to evaluate the progress. We calculate this metric for all mandates in scope, i.e. those managed according to our SI Enhanced and SI Focus standards. We include net asset value of asset classes specified in 10, and also do not include derivatives (such as futures, swaps) or ETFs / funds. Multi asset mandates are not included. Non-discretionary mandates where Quoniam is not able to manage in line with Net Zero due to client instructions, benchmarks or similar restrictions are also excluded. Quoniam engages with clients to embed net zero alignment in such cases. The tracking of target progress is addressed via the general monitoring process for our 3 SI standards SI Essential, SI Enhanced, SI Focus via the SI Committee as outlined in our formal process document "ESG screening & integration process".



INDIVIDUAL AND COLLABORATIVE INVESTOR ACTION ON OUTCOMES

LEVERS USED TO TAKE ACTION ON SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 5	PLUS	SO 2	Multiple	PUBLIC	Levers used to take action on sustainability outcomes	1, 2, 5

During the reporting year, which of the following levers did your organisation use to take action on sustainability outcomes, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?

☑ (/	A) Stewardship with investees	i, including e	ngagement,	(proxy) voting	g, and dir	ect influence	with privately	held assets
	Select from drop down list:							

 \square (1) Individually

☑ (2) With other investors or stakeholders

- \square (B) Stewardship: engagement with external investment managers
- \square (C) Stewardship: engagement with policy makers
- \square (D) Stewardship: engagement with other key stakeholders
- ☐ (E) Capital allocation
- o (F) Our organisation did not use any of the above levers to take action on sustainability outcomes during the reporting year

STEWARDSHIP WITH INVESTEES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 8	PLUS	SO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship with investees	2

During the reporting year, how did your organisation use stewardship with investees to take action on sustainability outcomes, including preventing and mitigating actual and potential negative outcomes?



(A) Across all sustainability outcomes

(1) Describe your approach

As an asset manager, we offer proxy voting and company dialogues in conjunction with Union Investment, depending on the mandate we manage. We believe there is value in a long-term investment approach where we work with companies to help them become more sustainable. Quoniam's engagement is therefore based on a collaborative approach to maximise influence on relevant sustainability issues.

This approach to collaborative engagement, which aims to mitigate risk and promote sustainable behaviour, is implemented in two ways: through constructive dialogue with companies, and at annual general meetings, where we make proposals and vote in line with our clients' values ('proxy voting'). Our first engagement priority is to be a responsible and active asset manager, positively influencing companies on ESG risks and opportunities. We aim to promote good corporate governance and make a positive contribution to the long-term growth of company and shareholder value.

This engagement takes place downstream of any investment. In order to be effective, we join forces with other companies of the Union Investment Group in case of engagement. We also engage through our membership of various initiatives such as Climate Action 100+. In addition, we seek to influence and promote standards for the financial sector through our collaborative work in initiatives such as IIGCC on Climate Change 100+.

Our engagement process consists of three stages: In the first, pre-engagement phase, we identify a company's pain points that can be addressed through engagement. The two levers in the actual engagement phase itself are proxy voting and constructive dialogue. In the post-engagement phase, we regularly evaluate the results of our activities and their potential consequences.

The time horizon in this is long, and it may take years for the changes to become visible. If, despite repeated engagement, a company fails to respond or take action (or does so inadequately), we will, as a last resort, exclude the company from our investment universe. The interim and final results of our engagement activities are documented and monitored.

(2) Stewardship tools or activities used

(1) Engagement (2) (Proxy) voting at shareholder meetings

(3) Example



(B) Sustainability Outcome #1:

(B) Sustainability Outcome #1:

NZAM portfolio emissions and intensity

(1) Describe your approach

As an asset manager, we offer proxy voting and company dialogues in conjunction with Union Investment, depending on the mandate we manage. We believe there is value in a long-term investment approach where we work with companies to help them become more sustainable. Quoniam's engagement is therefore based on a collaborative approach to maximise influence on relevant sustainability issues.

This approach to collaborative engagement, which aims to mitigate risk and promote sustainable behaviour, is implemented in two ways: through constructive dialogue with companies, and at annual general meetings, where we make proposals and vote in line with our clients' values ('proxy voting'). Our first engagement priority is to be a responsible and active asset manager, positively influencing companies on ESG risks and opportunities. We aim to promote good corporate governance and make a positive contribution to the long-term growth of company and shareholder value.

This engagement takes place downstream of any investment. In order to be effective, we join forces with other companies of the Union Investment Group in case of engagement. We also engage through our membership of various initiatives such as Climate Action 100+. In addition, we seek to influence and promote standards for the financial sector through our collaborative work in initiatives such as IIGCC on Climate Change 100+.

Our engagement process consists of three stages: In the first, pre-engagement phase, we identify a company's pain points that can be addressed through engagement. The two levers in the actual engagement phase itself are proxy voting and constructive dialogue. In the post-engagement phase, we regularly evaluate the results of our activities and their potential consequences.

The time horizon in this is long, and it may take years for the changes to become visible. If, despite repeated engagement, a company fails to respond or take action (or does so inadequately), we will, as a last resort, exclude the company from our investment universe. The interim and final results of our engagement activities are documented and monitored.

(2) Stewardship tools or activities used

(1) Engagement(2) (Proxy) voting at shareholder meetings

(3) Example



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 9	PLUS	SO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship with investees	2

How does your organisation prioritise the investees you conduct stewardship with to take action on sustainability outcomes, including preventing and mitigating actual and potential negative outcomes?

☑ (A) We prioritise the most strategically important companies in our portfolio.

Describe how you do this:

Quoniam applies engagement where it is covered by the asset management mandate and works together with Union Investment in this regard.

Select from the list:

- 3
- 0 4
- ☑ (B) We prioritise the companies in our portfolio most significantly connected to sustainability outcomes.

Describe how you do this:

Quoniam applies engagement where it is covered by the asset management mandate and works together with Union Investment in this regard.

Select from the list:

- 2
- 0 4

☑ (C) We prioritise the companies in our portfolio to ensure that we cover a certain proportion of the sustainability outcomes we are taking action on.

Describe how you do this:

Quoniam applies engagement where it is covered by the asset management mandate and works together with Union Investment in this regard.

Select from the list:

- **1**
- 0 4
- ☐ (D) Other



STEWARDSHIP: COLLABORATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 13	PLUS	SO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Collaboration	2

During the reporting year, to which collaborative initiatives did your organisation contribute to take action on sustainability outcomes, including preventing and mitigating actual and potential negative outcomes?

(A) Initiative #1

(1) Name of the initiative	Climate Action 100+
(2) Indicate how your organisation contributed to this collaborative initiative	(B) We acted as a collaborating investor in one or more focus entities (e.g. investee companies)
(3) Provide further detail on your participation in this collaborative initiative	We engage through our membership of various initiatives such as Climate Action 100+.
	(B) Initiative #2
(1) Name of the initiative	IIGCC
(2) Indicate how your organisation contributed to this collaborative initiative	(B) We acted as a collaborating investor in one or more focus entities (e.g. investee companies)
(3) Provide further detail on your participation in this collaborative initiative	We seek to influence and promote standards for the financial sector through our collaborative work in initiatives such as IIGCC or climate change.
	(C) Initiative #3
(1) Name of the initiative	
(2) Indicate how your organisation contributed to this collaborative initiative	



(3) Provide further detail on your participation in this collaborative initiative

(1) Name of the initiative (2) Indicate how your organisation contributed to this collaborative initiative (3) Provide further detail on your participation in this collaborative initiative

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

APPROACH TO CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 1	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Approach to confidence-building measures	6

How did your organisation verify the information submitted in your PRI report this reporting year?

- \square (A) We conducted independent third-party assurance of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- \square (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls or governance processes to be able to conduct independent third-party assurance next year
- ☑ (C) We conducted an internal audit of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report
- ☑ (D) Our board, trustees (or equivalent), senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and/or investment committee (or equivalent) signed off on our PRI report
- ☐ (E) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to verify that our funds comply with our responsible investment policy
- ☐ (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making
- ☑ (G) Our responses in selected sections and/or the entirety of our PRI report were internally reviewed before submission to the PRI
- o (H) We did not verify the information submitted in our PRI report this reporting year



INTERNAL AUDIT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 4	CORE	OO 21, CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal audit	6

What responsible investment processes and/or data were audited through your internal audit function?

☑ (A) Policy, governance and strategy

Select from dropdown list:

- o (1) Data internally audited
- **(2) Processes internally audited**
- o (3) Processes and data internally audited

☑ (C) Listed equity

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) Data internally audited
- o (2) Processes internally audited
- o (3) Processes and data internally audited

☑ (D) Fixed income

Select from dropdown list:

- **(1)** Data internally audited
- o (2) Processes internally audited
- o (3) Processes and data internally audited

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 5	PLUS	CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal audit	6

Provide details of the internal audit process regarding the information submitted in your PRI report.

The internal audit was conducted by Quoniam's former Chief Investment Officer, who still advises the company on the investment process. The audit is based on the responses to the indicators for all modules prepared by the project team, with any comments made by the auditor evaluated by the module manager in consultation with the project team.



INTERNAL REVIEW

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
СВМ 6	CORE	CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal review	6

Who in your organisation reviewed the responses submitted in your PRI report this year?

- \square (A) Board, trustees, or equivalent
- ☑ (B) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent Sections of PRI report reviewed
 - **(1)** the entire report
 - o (2) selected sections of the report
- \circ (C) None of the above internal roles reviewed selected sections or the entirety of the responses submitted in our PRI report this year

